For the Northern District of California

	INTERN		DICTRICT	COLIDA
INTHE	UNITED	SIAIES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C 06-07104 JSW

CAUSE

SECOND ORDER TO SHOW

IN re KOCH v. HANKINS JUDGMENT CREDITOR TRUST,

Debtor,

FLOYD KOCH, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EDWARD HANKINS, et al.,

Defendants

On October 5, 2006, Carlon Properties, Inc. ("Carlon"), filed a notice of appeal from the decision of the Bankruptcy Court to transfer an adversary proceeding to the proceeding to the District of Arizona. Carlon also filed a motion for leave to appeal the Bankruptcy Court's interlocutory order. On November 16, 2006, the matter was assigned to this Court, and designated as an e-filing case.

On April 26, 2007, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause as to why this appeal should not be dismissed as moot, in light of the fact that the docket in the Arizona case shows that Carlon's motion to dismiss the petition was granted on October 24, 2006. However, it appears that neither counsel for Carlon nor counsel for Koch ever registered with the Court's efiling program. As a result, it is not clear to this Court that counsel were served with the Order to Show Cause dated April 26, 2007.

Case 3:06-cv-07104-JSW Document 4 Filed 05/11/07 Page 2 of 2

_	
3	
5	~
)	ij
	ō.
֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֜֜֝֝֝֜֝֝֜֝֝֜֝֜֝֝֓֓֓֓֜֝֡֜֜֜֜֝֓֓֓֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜	f Califo
-	Ű
	Jo
2	ct
7	Ë
4	ž
מ	Ξ.
ב כ	ē
3	ŧ
	2
2	<u>e</u>
3	r the
į	٠
=	щ
-	

Accordingly, Carlon is HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE as to why this appeal
should not be dismissed as moot. Carlon's response to the Court shall be due by May 23, 2007.
That deadline shall be vacated if a voluntary dismissal of the appeal is filed by that date. The
Clerk is hereby directed to serve a copy of this Order on counsel for Carlon and on counsel for
Koch by United States Mail.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 11, 2007

JEFFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE